### Appendix (supplementary material)

#### **Contents**

- 1. Sampling procedure
- 2. Statistical weights
- 3. Correlations between dichotomized conspiracy theory belief variables (Table A1)
- 4. Association between time of data collection and support for Lukashenko's regime (Table A2)
- 5. Association between support for Lukashenko's regime and Soros/Gates conspiracy theory belief (Table A3)
- 6. Full multivariate regression models (Tables A4 and A5)

#### 1 Sampling procedure

Our survey participants were recruited as follows. A random sample of 125 electoral precincts was taken from the full list of the city's electoral precincts. For each precinct, a complete register of residential addresses was created in the field by the interviewers, under the assumption that each address corresponded with one household. These registers were then turned in to the CSI, which extracted random samples for each precinct. The full sampling frame thus consisted of the sum of the random samples from each precinct. The interviewers then visited these addresses in pre-assigned order, collecting interviews up to a target of 10 data points per precinct. Each address had to be visited at least thrice before being moved over to the nonresponse statistics, and not more than twice during the same day. The within-household sampling technique consisted of a modified version of the Kish table, and an uninterested or unavailable respondent was not replaced by a different household member. All in all this timeconsuming procedure produces a "quasi-random" sample, but in the absence of any better accessible sampling frame (e.g., voter lists, which the Central Electoral Commission is not willing to release), this is as random as it can get in Ukraine. Nevertheless, women and elderly respondents are over-represented because they are relatively more numerous among single-person households. For this reason, we report our results in two versions - unweighted and weighted by age and sex - emphasizing results that are significant in both. Unfortunately, complicated sampling procedures also tend to bring down response rates<sup>2</sup>, but the survey nevertheless achieved a total response rate of approximately 31 percent, which is similar to the levels obtained by other studies in urban areas across Ukraine. Among nonresponses, 72.4 percent were individuals who declined to participate, and 27.6 percent relate to other causes (e.g. address is an office, inaccessible address, respondent's evident intoxication, etc.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Leslie Kish, 'A procedure for objective respondent selection within the household', *Journal of the American Statistical Association 44*: 247, 1949, pp. 380-387.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Diane Binson, Jesse A. Canchola and Joseph A. Catania, 'Random selection in a national telephone survey: a comparison of the Kish, next-birthday, and last-birthday methods', *Journal of Official Statistics* 16: 1, January 2000, pp. 53-59.

#### 2 Statistical weights

The statistical weights used in this study correct for age-sex composition distortions in the sample after initially adjusting for the unevenness in the respondent's probability of being selected. The latter adjust produces the base weight, which is then calibrated to better reflect the age-sex composition of the city based on known demographic statistics from the State Statistics Service of Ukraine. The weights were applied by the Centre for Statistical Indicators in accordance with the method used by the Kyiv International Institute of Sociology (KIIS, a leading polling agency in Ukraine), of which it is a non-profit spin-off.

As detailed in KIIS's methodological documentation (available from the author upon request), the base weight applied to each sampled respondent is calculated as the inverse of the probability that the respondent was randomly selected, with the selection probability being determined by the product of three probabilities: (1) the sampling probability of the respondent's electoral precinct, (2) the sampling probability of the respondent within the household.

Once the base weights have been calculated, they are multiplied by a factor that calibrates the sample to reflect the age-sex composition of the population of Mariupol at large. This way, under-represented groups will be "weighed up" and over-represented groups down, respectively. In practice, one could obtain a weighting factor of, e.g., 1.4 for a hypothetical observation, which would mean that this observation would be counted 1.4 times. To exemplify the impact of the weights, at the aggregate level, the mean weight placed on male respondents aged 50-59 in our study is 1.15, whereas female respondents aged 70+ are weighted 0.65.

#### 3 Correlations between dichotomized conspiracy theory belief variables

**Table A1:** Matrix of correlations between dichotomized conspiracy theory variables (1=disagree, 0=other)

	Gates/Soros conspiracy	Shelling of Vostochnyi	American medical experiments	Ulana Suprun
Gates/Soros conspiracy	1	0.317	0.474	0.159
Shelling of Vostochnyi	0.317	1	0.197	0.138
American medical experiments	0.474	0.197	1	0.171
Ulana Suprun	0.159	0.138	0.171	1

Pearson's r. All correlations significant at 0.1% level.

# 4 Association between time of data collection and support for Lukashenko regime

**Table A2:** Belief that "the Belarusian model of government is a desirable example for Ukraine" by date of interview (%).

Date of interview	n	Completel	Rather	Rather	Completel	Don't know	
		y disagree	disagre	agree	y agree	or refusal	
			е				
Until 9 Aug. 2020	263	14.4	20.2	25.9	19	20.6	
From 10 Aug. 2020	988	5.1	18.2	41	22.2	13.6	
Until 30 July	200	15.5	19.0	26.0	20.0	19.5	
31 July to 19 Aug.	267	11.6	21.3	35.2	20.6	11.3	
20 Aug. to 27 Sept.	784	3.3	17.6	41.7	22.2	15.2	
Total	1251	7.0	18.6	37.8	21.5	15.0	

Unweighted, row percentages.

## 5 Association between support for the Lukashenko regime and Soros/Gates conspiracy belief

**Table A3:** The association between support for the Belarusian model of government and belief in Soros/Gates conspiracy theory.

	"The Belarusian model of government is a desirable example for Ukraine"						
"Ukraine is ruled by external forces such as Soros' and Gates' organizations"	n	Completel y disagree	Rather disagree	Rather agree	Completel y agree	Don't know and refusals	
Other	1089	2.6	17.3	41.1	23.2	15.8	
Disagree	162	37	27.8	15.4	9.9	9.9	
Total	1251	7	18.6	37.8	21.5	15	

Unweighted, row percentages.

### 5 Full multivariate regression models

**Table A4:** Models predicting disagreement with statements "Ukraine is in fact governed by external forces such as the organizations of George Soros and Bill Gates" and "The shelling of the Vostochnyi microdistrict was done by the Ukrainian armed forces".

	Gates/Soros conspiracy				Shelling of Vostochnyi			
Predictor	Unweighted		Weighted		Unweighted		Weighted	
	Wald	Exp (B)	Wald	Exp (B)	Wald	Exp (B)	Wald	Exp (B)
Male	0.037	0.959	0.007	0.983	1.506	1.307	0.801	1.210
18-39 (ref)	0.315		1.296		3.101		3.496	
40-59	0.000	1.003	0.095	1.076	0.712	1.286	0.085	1.081
60+	0.199	0.873	0.651	0.781	3.016	1.767	3.020	1.783
Education (ref: primary)	0.736		1.071		0.847		1.011	
Education (secondary)	0.736	1.479	0.783	1.526	0.143	1.195	0.008	1.046
Education (tertiary)	0.554	1.426	0.330	1.327	0.524	1.426	0.241	1.304
Good economy	7.474	2.086**	14.759	2.472***	4.563	1.850*	10.364	2.372***
Feels Ukrainian	0.383	1.204	0.027	0.953	2.448	1.673	5.876	2.488**
Feels Russian	1.149	0.779	4.398	0.618*	8.656	0.471**	2.549	0.660
Feels Soviet	4.231	0.588*	4.577	0.575*	2.284	0.663	3.202	0.590
Feels European	2.238	1.456	0.848	1.243	0.007	1.023	0.058	1.066
Sexual minorities should have equal rights	30.385	3.257***	27.446	2.865***	1.447	0.757	4.560	0.605*
Until 30 July (ref)	43.450		43.653		0.651		0.994	
31 July to 19 Aug	2.835	0.640	4.846	0.570*	0.064	0.924	0.792	1.331
20 Aug to 27 Sept	38.541	0.204***	40.285	0.219***	0.559	0.808	0.878	1.320
Trusts the Ukrainian Orthodox Church	0.133	1.084	0.361	1.135	7.958	1.894**	12.169	2.190***
Trusts the Moscow Patriarchate	0.917	1.255	0.481	1.177	24.222	0.232***	25.028	0.200***
Russians and Ukrainians are one people	53.873	0.171***	47.912	0.214***	72.455	0.127***	99.743	0.092***
Constant	1.092	0.522	0.488	0.653	2.012	0.396	3.563	0.264
Nagelkerke r2	0.380		0.365		0.335		0.394	
-2 Log likelihood	658.48		743.561 624.174		636.753			

Significances: \*\*\*0.1%, \*\*1%, \*5%.

**Table A5**: Models predicting disagreement with statements "American laboratories on Ukrainian soil conduct medical experiments on the Ukrainian population" and "The former acting minister of Healthcare Ulana Suprun was in the government because the Americans wanted so".

	American medical experiments				Ulana Suprun			
Predictor	Unweighted		Weighted		Unweighted		Weighted	
Fredictor	Wald	Exp (B)	Wald	Exp (B)	Wald	Exp (B)	Wald	Exp(B)
Male	0.192	0.933	0.267	0.925	2.632	1.396	1.456	1.276
18-39 (ref)	1.151		1.109		1.110		1.543	
40-59	0.138	0.922	0.019	1.027	0.827	1.317	1.357	1.363
60+	0.272	1.129	0.879	1.239	1.034	1.391	1.127	1.395
Education (ref: primary)	0.663		2.164		5.223		4.782	
Education (secondary)	0.034	0.951	1.446	0.709	2.036	2.000	0.632	1.473
Education (tertiary)	0.360	0.838	2.156	0.641	4.194	2.781*	2.463	2.183
Good economy	2.509	1.409	6.561	1.649**	1.299	1.362	2.229	1.453
Feels Ukrainian	0.001	1.007	0.041	1.045	0.253	0.871	0.009	0.973
Feels Russian	5.700	0.675*	6.156	0.661*	0.143	1.089	0.176	1.101
Feels Soviet	10.481	0.538***	9.064	0.554**	1.321	0.739	0.932	0.772
Feels European	10.334	1.875***	7.532	1.671**	6.646	1.925**	6.720	1.905**
Sexual minorities should have equal rights	26.744	2.201***	31.664	2.326***	2.125	1.352	0.497	1.159
Until 30 July (ref)	1.009		1.957		2.668		1.982	
31 July to 19 Aug	0.170	1.103	0.049	1.052	0.747	0.746	1.692	0.657
20 Aug to 27 Sept	0.163	0.919	0.762	0.837	0.276	1.161	0.148	0.901
Trusts the Ukrainian Orthodox Church	10.324	1.682***	9.522	1.642**	20.087	2.657***	15.784	2.366***
Trusts the Moscow Patriarchate	2.556	1.316	1.336	1.225	3.405	1.519	5.638	1.733*
Russians and Ukrainians are one people	17.910	0.422***	13.678	0.493***	4.917	0.539*	4.744	0.557*
Constant	2.636	0.492	1.918	0.550	28.225	0.028***	23.012	0.041***
Nagelkerke r2	0.208		0.202		0.126		0.114	
-2 Log likelihood	1128.65	7	1171.33	1	716.649		727.318	

Significances: \*\*\*0.1%, \*\*1%, \*5%.